

Kaplan SQE Limited

Solicitors Qualifying Examination (SQE)

Mitigating Circumstances Policy

1. Introduction

1.1 Scope and purpose

Kaplan SQE Limited (Kaplan SQE) has been appointed by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (“SRA”) as the sole provider of the Solicitors Qualifying Examination (“the SQE”) and the End Point Assessment Organisation (“EPAO”) for Solicitor Apprentices. Kaplan SQE handles mitigating circumstances in accordance with this Policy as required by the SRA.

Decisions on mitigating circumstances rest with the SQE Assessment Board as provided by regulations 8.4 and 12 of the [SQE Assessment Regulations](#). This Policy sets out the procedures to be followed in cases where a candidate wishes to submit a claim for mitigating circumstances in SQE1 and/or SQE2.

SQE1 consists of two assessments, FLK1 and FLK2. FLK1 and FLK2 must be taken in a single assessment window, except as outlined in regulation 4.3 of the [SQE Assessment Regulations](#). Both must be passed to pass SQE1. The SQE Assessment Board will consider a mitigating circumstances claim from candidates who have attempted either FLK1 or FLK2 or both. Candidates who fail to attend all of the SQE1 assessments they have booked are not covered by this policy, and should instead refer to the [SQE Assessment Terms and Conditions](#).

SQE2 is a single assessment comprising 16 individual assessment stations, across two parts, SQE2 oral and SQE2 written, within an assessment window. The SQE Assessment Board will consider a claim for mitigating circumstances affecting one or more of the assessment stations comprising SQE2. Candidates who fail to attend the entire SQE2 assessment are not covered by this policy, and should instead refer to the [SQE Assessment Terms and Conditions](#).

1.2 Fairness

We are committed to ensuring that this Policy is fair and transparent and is operated in a manner which:



- treats all candidates equally and fairly in the consideration of their claims
- gives reasons for our actions and the decisions we make
- is free from bias
- has reasonable timescales for both submissions to and responses from us.

1.3 Confidentiality

Information provided by candidates under these procedures will be treated confidentially and only shared with those persons necessary to consider their claim

1.4 Timescales

We consider that candidates will normally be able to meet the time limits in communicating with us. Where there are circumstances which mean a candidate cannot reasonably meet such time limits they must communicate this to us at the earliest opportunity.

1.5 Candidates with a disability

Where a candidate has a disability, information will be made available to them in appropriate formats and reasonable adjustments will be made to proceedings to accommodate their needs.

1.6 Review of this Policy

This Policy will be monitored on an ongoing basis and any necessary amendments will be made and implemented at the earliest opportunity. As a minimum, this and all associated policies will be reviewed on an annual basis as part of ongoing quality assurance procedures.

2. Claims for mitigating circumstances

2.1 For the purpose of this Policy, “Mitigating Circumstances” has the following meaning:

- a) A mistake or irregularity in the administration or conduct of the assessment; or
- b) Evidence of bias in the conduct of the assessment; or
- c) Subject to the [Fit to Sit Policy](#) and [SQE Assessment Regulations](#) a candidate's illness or other personal circumstances beyond their reasonable control

which have, or are likely to, materially and adversely affect a candidate's marks or performance in the assessment.

2.2 Candidates who consider that their marks or performance in any SQE assessment have been, or are likely to have been, materially and adversely affected by any of the circumstances outlined in 2.1, or who consider that their failure to attend an

assessment can be attributed to any of those circumstances, may make a claim for mitigating circumstances.

- 2.3 Disagreement with the academic judgement of the assessors cannot amount to mitigating circumstances. Academic judgement means the professional and scholarly knowledge and expertise which the assessors have drawn on to reach a decision about a candidate's performance in an assessment.
- 2.4 A candidate who considers that their performance is likely to be affected by mitigating circumstances as described in 2.1(c) which arise before the assessment window is normally expected to withdraw from the assessment and sit it at a later date. Candidates in this position should refer to the SQE Assessment Terms and Conditions. A mitigating circumstances claim made in respect of circumstances existing before the assessment will not usually be accepted or considered, unless a candidate is able to clearly evidence why they attempted the assessment and signed the 'fit to sit' declaration.
- 2.5 Where mitigating circumstances arise the candidate must report the mitigating circumstances to an invigilator as soon as possible and at the latest before leaving the venue.
- 2.6 Candidates reporting mitigating circumstances during an assessment will not normally be allowed any additional time to complete an assessment unless an error of process on the part of Kaplan SQE or the test centre has denied them the full time to complete the assessment and it is practicable to allow additional time.
- 2.7 Ongoing medical conditions and other disabilities that affect candidates do not fall within mitigating circumstances as defined by this Policy. Arrangements for meeting the needs of candidates who have ongoing conditions and/or a disability are dealt with according to the [SQE Reasonable Adjustments Policy](#). We do recognise however, that there may be circumstances when a candidate may experience specific difficulties related to their condition during an assessment, which may need to be considered under this Policy (for example a flare-up). Where this occurs, the candidate should submit a Mitigating Circumstances claim. When assessing that claim, the Assessment Board will be entitled to also consider the reasonable adjustments that were put in place during the assessment.

3. Circumstances which would not usually meet the definition of mitigating circumstances

The following are examples of the circumstances which would not usually meet the definition of mitigating circumstances. This list is not exhaustive.

- transport issues
- holiday/family events



- misreading the assessment timetable
- employment

4. Making a claim

- 4.1 If a candidate wishes to proceed with a claim for mitigating circumstances, they must complete and submit the [Mitigating Circumstances Form](#).
- 4.2 All claims must be made in writing to the Kaplan SQE team **within five working days** of the end of the assessment window in question using the Mitigating Circumstances Form and should be accompanied, wherever possible, by independent supporting written evidence. Where a claim is made under 2.1(c), a medical certificate should be provided as evidence of illness including relevant dates and the nature, onset and duration of the condition. Where evidence cannot be provided within the five working days, the Mitigating Circumstances Form should be submitted within that time limit with the evidence to follow.
- 4.3 We will acknowledge receipt of the Mitigating Circumstances Form within five working days of receiving it.
- 4.4 Kaplan SQE reserves the right to verify the authenticity of evidence submitted.

5. Consideration of claim

- 5.1 Claims will be considered by the Mitigating Circumstances Panel (MCP) who will determine (a) whether the claim amounts to mitigating circumstances; and (b) whether the evidence presented substantiates the facts on which the claim is made. Where necessary, the MCP may call for further evidence and/or undertake an investigation.
- 5.2 All evidence submitted must be written in English, or Welsh at the candidate's choice where the candidate sat the assessment in Welsh. Where the original evidence is not written in English or Welsh, the candidate must submit a verified translation at the candidate's expense.
- 5.3 The MCP will comprise of at least two members of Kaplan SQE staff and a representative of the Solicitors Regulation Authority. The MCP will convene to review candidate claims and will record its recommendation in relation to each claim in writing.
- 5.4 Recommendations of the MCP will be reported to the Assessment Board for decision.
- 5.5 Where the Assessment Board accepts a Mitigating Circumstances claim, the Board will discount the attempt which was subject to the claim. The Board may authorise a refund for all or part of the fee for the assessment. Candidates should note that even though SQE2 comprises 16 individual assessment stations, SQE2 is a single assessment of competence. Where mitigating circumstances are accepted for any of the assessment



stations comprising SQE2, the candidate will be required to retake all of the assessment stations comprising SQE2.

5.6 Providing false information and / or making a fraudulent Mitigating Circumstances claim amounts to malpractice and improper conduct, and would be dealt with under regulation 13 of the SQE Assessment Regulations.

5.7 A candidate who disagrees with the decision of the Assessment Board may appeal against the decision provided that they are able to establish a ground for the appeal as set out in the [SQE Appeals Policy](#).